

otázky 1–8

- Lim, Timothy C. 2016. *Doing Comparative Politics: An Introduction to Approaches and Issues*. 3rd ed. Boulder: Lynne Rienner, kap. 3 a 4 (“Thinking Theoretically in Comparative Politics” a “Why Are Poor Countries Poor? Explaining Economic Underdevelopment”), 61–99, 103–138
- Spruyt, Hendrik. 2002. The Origins, Development, and Possible Decline of the Modern State. *Annual Review of Political Science* 5, 127–149
- Tilly, Charles. 2007. *Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 15–50
- Munck, Gerardo L. 2018. Modernization Theory as a Case of Failed Knowledge Production. *The Annals of Comparative Democratization* 16(3): 37–41
- Acemoglu, Daron a James A. Robinson 2010. The Role of Institutions in Growth and Development. *Review of Economics and Institutions* 1(2): 1–33
- Sartori, Giovanni. 2001. *Srovnávací ústavní inženýrství*. Praha: SLON, kap. 5–7, s. 93–146 (orig.: *Comparative Constitutional Engineering*. New York UP, kap. 5–7)
- Paraskevopoulos, Christos J. 2010. Social Capital: Summing up the Debate on a Conceptual Tool of Comparative Politics and Public Policy. *Comparative Politics* 42(4): 475–494
- Frantz, Erica. 2021. Autocracy. In: *Oxford Research – Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.3>
- Lührmann, Anna a Staffan I. Lindberg. 2019. A third wave of autocratization is here: what is new about it? *Democratization* 26(7): 1095–1113

otázky 9–15

- Bevir, M., & Kedar, A. (2008). Concept formation in political science: An anti-naturalist critique of qualitative methodology. *Perspectives on Politics*, 6(3), 503–517.
- Gerring, J. (2004). What is a case study and what is it good for?. *American political science review*, 98(2), 341–354.
- Collier, D. (2011). Understanding process tracing. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 44(4), 823–830.
- Adcock, R., & Collier, D. (2001). Measurement validity: A shared standard for qualitative and quantitative research. *American political science review*, 95(3), 529–546.
- Lieberman, E. S. (2005). Nested analysis as a mixed-method strategy for comparative research. *American political science review*, 99(3), 435–452.
- Gerber, A. S., Green, D. P., & Shachar, R. (2003). Voting may be habit-forming: evidence from a randomized field experiment. *American journal of political science*, 47(3), 540–550.
- Pierson, P. (2000). Not just what, but when: Timing and sequence in political processes. *Studies in American Political Development*, 14(1), 72–92
- Slater, D., & Ziblatt, D. (2013). The enduring indispensability of the controlled comparison. *Comparative Political Studies*, 46(10), 1301–1327.

Literatura k SZZk – Teoreticko-metodologický základ

Sarigil, Z. (2015). Showing the path to path dependence: The habitual path. *European Political Science Review*, 7(2), 221–242.

otázky 16–23

Fu, Diana and Simmons Erika S. (2021). Ethnographic Approaches to Contentious Politics: The What, How, and Why. *Comparative Political Studies* 54(10), 1695–1721.

Hoey, Brian A. (2014). *A Simple Introduction to the Practice of Ethnography and Guide to Ethnographic Fieldnotes*. Marshall Digital Scholar.

Liamputtong, Pranee (2010). *Performing Qualitative Cross-cultural Research*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tracey, Sarah T. (2020). *Qualitative Research Methods. Collecting Evidence, crafting analysis, communicating impact*. Medford MA: John Wiley & Sons.